# PHPE 400 Individual and Group Decision Making

Eric Pacuit
University of Maryland
pacuit.org

Politics
Coase Theorem
Harsanyis Theorem
Philosophy
May's Theorem Gaus
Nash Condorcets Paradox
Rational Choice Theory
Arrows Social Choice Theory Sen
Rational Choice Theory
Arrows Theorem

# Which Voting Method is Best?



➤ Voting methods that satisfy the top condition (winners must be ranked first by at least one voter): Plurality and Instant Runoff Voting

► Voting methods that always elect a Condorcet winner (when one exists): Minimax, Copeland, Maximum Wins-Smallest Loss

# Majority Rule



When there are only **two** candidates *a* and *b*, then all voting methods give the same results

# Majority Rule



When there are only **two** candidates *a* and *b*, then all voting methods give the same results

**Majority Rule**: a is ranked above (below) b if more (fewer) voters rank a above b than b above a, otherwise a and b are tied.

When there are only two options, can we argue that majority rule is the "best" procedure?



- 1. **Democratic Responsiveness**: The outcome should reflect and respond to voters' preferences.
- 2. **Equal Treatment**: Every voter should have the same opportunity to influence the result.



- 1. **Democratic Responsiveness**: The outcome should reflect and respond to voters' preferences.
- 2. **Equal Treatment**: Every voter should have the same opportunity to influence the result.

Majority rule clearly satisfies both principles.



However, Majority Rule is not the only procedure that satisfies these principles.



However, Majority Rule is not the only procedure that satisfies these principles.

**Lottery Voting**: Suppose there are two candidates, *a* and *b*. Each voter selects their preferred candidate (either *a* or *b*). Then, a single vote is randomly selected, and the candidate chosen on that ballot becomes the winner.

B. Saunders (2010). Democracy, Political Equality, and Majority Rule. Ethics, 121(1), pp. 148-177.

# Justifying Majority Rule



► Lottery Voting satisfies Democratic Responsiveness, since all members of the community have a chance to influence outcomes

# Justifying Majority Rule



- ► Lottery Voting satisfies Democratic Responsiveness, since all members of the community have a chance to influence outcomes
- ► Lottery Voting satisfies Equal Treatment, since all voters have an equal chance of being picked.

It gives each voter an equal chance of being decisive, but voters do not have equal chances of getting their way—rather, the chance of each option winning is proportional to the number of votes it obtains.

# Justifying Majority Rule



- ► Lottery Voting satisfies Democratic Responsiveness, since all members of the community have a chance to influence outcomes
- ► Lottery Voting satisfies Equal Treatment, since all voters have an equal chance of being picked.
  - It gives each voter an equal chance of being decisive, but voters do not have equal chances of getting their way—rather, the chance of each option winning is proportional to the number of votes it obtains.
- ► Lottery Voting is not Majority Rule, since the vote of someone in the minority may be picked.

# What Justifies Majority Rule?



M. Risse (2004). Arguing for majority rule. Journal of Political Philosophy 12 (1), pp. 41 - 64.

# Justifying Majority Rule I



May's Theorem is a proceduralist justification of majority rule showing that it is the unique procedure satisfying normative principles of group decision making.

K. May (1952). A Set of Independent Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Simple Majority Decision. Econometrica, Vol. 20.



Voters:  $V = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$  is the set of n voters.

Candidates:  $X = \{a, b\}$  is set of candidates.

Suppose that voters can submit one of 2 rankings:

- 1. a P b: a is ranked above b ("vote for a")
- 2. b P a: b is ranked above a ("vote for b")

Let L(X) be the set of 2 rankings on X.



The set of **profiles** is  $L(X)^V$ , where a profile assigns to each voter one of the three rankings from L(X).

Given a profile  $\mathbf{P} \in L(X)^V$  and a voter  $i \in V$ , we write  $\mathbf{P}_i$  for the ranking of voter i.

E.g., suppose that  $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and consider the profile

$$\mathbf{P} = (a P b, a P b, b P a, a P b)$$

Then,  $P_2$  is the ranking a P b (voter 2 votes for a).



**Social Choice Function**:  $F: L(X)^V \to \wp(X)$ .

Where for all profiles **P** from  $L(X)^V$ ,  $F(\mathbf{P})$  is the set of winners.

We assume that for all profile **P**,  $F(\mathbf{P}) \neq \emptyset$  (so there is always at least one winner).



**Social Choice Function**:  $F: L(X)^V \to \wp(X)$ .

#### **Examples:**

- ► Majority Rule: The winner is the candidate with the most votes, otherwise the candidates are tied
- ▶ **Quota Rule**: The winner is the candidate with more than *q*% of the vote (e.g., more than 2/3 of the vote), otherwise the candidates are tied.
- ▶ **Unanimity Rule**: A candidate wins is *all voters* vote for that candidate, otherwise the candidates are tied.



**Social Choice Function**:  $F: L(X)^V \to \wp(X)$ .

#### **Examples:**

- ▶ **Minority Rule**: The winner is the candidate with the fewest votes, otherwise the candidates are tied.
- ▶ **Majority Rule with Status Quo**: The winner is the candidate with the most votes, and if there is a tie candidate *a* wins.
- ► **Always** *a*: Candidate *a* always wins.
- ▶ **Voter 1**: The winner is whoever voter 1 voted for.
- ► **Tied**: The candidates are always tied.



$$F_{Maj}(\mathbf{P}) = \begin{cases} \{a\} & \text{if more voters rank } a \text{ above } b \text{ than } b \text{ above } a \\ \{a,b\} & \text{if the same number of voters rank } a \text{ above } b \text{ as } b \text{ above } a \\ \{b\} & \text{if more voters rank } b \text{ above } a \text{ than } a \text{ above } b \end{cases}$$

$$F_{Maj}(\mathbf{P}) = \begin{cases} \{a\} & \text{if } Margin_{\mathbf{R}}(a,b) > 0 \\ \{a,b\} & \text{if } Margin_{\mathbf{R}}(a,b) = 0 \\ \{b\} & \text{if } Margin_{\mathbf{R}}(b,a) > 0 \end{cases}$$

# Anonymity and Neutrality



- ► *F* satisfies **Anonymity**: permuting the voters does not change the set of winners.
- ► *F* satisfies **Neutrality**: permuting the candidates results in a winning set that is permuted in the same way.

# Anonymity and Neutrality



- ► *F* satisfies **Anonymity**: permuting the voters does not change the set of winners.
- ► *F* satisfies **Neutrality**: permuting the candidates results in a winning set that is permuted in the same way.

 $\implies$  in 2-candidate profiles, if the same number of voters rank  $\boldsymbol{a}$  above  $\boldsymbol{b}$  as  $\boldsymbol{b}$  above  $\boldsymbol{a}$ , then  $\boldsymbol{a} \in F(\mathbf{P})$  if, and only if,  $\boldsymbol{b} \in F(\mathbf{P})$ 

(a wins according to F if and only if b wins according to F).

# Weak Positive Responsiveness



- ightharpoonup F satisfies **Weak Positive Responsiveness** if for any profiles **P** and **P**', if
  - 1.  $\mathbf{a} \in F(\mathbf{P})$  ( $\mathbf{a}$  is a winner in  $\mathbf{P}$  according to F) and
  - 2. **P**' is obtained from **P** by one voter who ranked *a* uniquely last in **P** switching to ranking *a* uniquely first in **P**' (while all other voters' rankings are unchanged),

then  $F(\mathbf{P}') = \{a\}$  (a is the **unique** winner in  $\mathbf{P}'$  according to F).